

**BARYON ASYMMETRY, SUPERSYMMETRY AND
GRAVITATIONAL ANOMALIES*****Luis E. Ibáñez¹ and Fernando Quevedo²**¹*Departamento de Física Teórica C-XI
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain*²*Institut de Physique
Université de Neuchâtel, CH-2000, Switzerland***Introduction**

We discuss two independent issues about the baryon asymmetry of the universe. First, assuming that it is generated by an unspecified source at high temperatures, we study the effects of non-perturbative $SU(2)_W$ dynamics above the electroweak scale, in the context of supersymmetric models. We find that there is a substantial difference with the nonsupersymmetric case with the net effect of relaxing previous bounds on B and L violating interactions. In particular supersymmetry allows neutrino masses as large as 10 eV, consistent with solar neutrino data as well as what is required for hot dark matter (together with cosmic strings or cold dark matter) to explain COBE results on large scale density perturbations. It is also consistent with neutrino oscillations measurable at future accelerator experiments. Second, we argue that the existence of a mixed lepton number–gravitational anomaly in the standard model will induce $B - L$ violating interactions. These transitions would be catalized by Einstein–Yang–Mills instantons or sphalerons and could create a primordial $B - L$ asymmetry at Planck temperatures or lower. Gravity (and the anomaly structure of the standard model) could then be the ultimate source of the baryon asymmetry. We analyze the viability of the presently known gravitational instantons and sphalerons to realize this scenario.

* Talk presented by FQ at the Texas/Pascos Conference, Berkeley Dec. 1992.

Global Symmetries in the Supersymmetric Standard Model

We will consider the minimal supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model with gauge symmetry $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, three generations of quark (Q, u_L^c, d_L^c) and lepton (L, E_L^c) superfields, and two Higgs doublets superfields $(H, \tilde{H})$. The gauge-invariant dimension-four operators that can appear in the superpotential are:

$$W = h_u Q_L u_L^c \tilde{H} + h_d Q_L d_L^c H + h_l L_L E_L^c H + h_B u_L^c d_L^c d_L^c + h_L Q_L d_L^c L + h'_L L_L L_L E_L^c, \quad (1)$$

where generation and gauge indices have been suppressed. We can investigate the global symmetries of the supersymmetric standard model (SSM) we just described. It is easy to see that there are only two symmetries [1]. A standard one g_{PQ} and a R symmetry I . for which $(Q_L, u_L^c, d_L^c, L_L, E_L^c, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\tilde{H}})$ have the charges $(0, -2, 1, -1, 2, -1, 2)$ under g_{PQ} and $(-1, -3, 1, -1, 1, -1, 3)$, under I . Gauginos have zero charge under g_{PQ} and 1 under I . For I , the bosonic component of the superfield has one unit more than the corresponding fermion. Both symmetries have mixed anomalies for any number of generations N_g and Higgs pairs N_h . It is convenient to define two independent combinations $R_2 \equiv I^{N_g} \times g_{PQ}^{6-4N_g}$ and $R_3 \equiv I^{N_g-N_h} \times g_{PQ}^{4+2N_h-4N_g}$ with the property that R_N has only $SU(N)$ and $U(1)_Y$ mixed anomalies. The combination $B + L + R_2$ has no $SU(2)$ nor $SU(3)$ mixed anomalies for the physical case $N_g = 3$. Thus, just like $B - L$, the symmetry $B + L + R_2$ is conserved

Let us now restrict to the case where all B - or L -violating terms in (1) are forbidden. Therefore there will be a total of six global symmetries B, L_i, R_2 and R_3 , where R_2 and $B + L$ have mixed $SU(2)$ anomalies and R_3 has mixed $SU(3)$ anomalies. In order to explore the physical consequences of these anomalies we have to find the effective operators that they generate. For the Standard Model the effective operator from the $(B + L) - SU(2)^2$ anomaly is [2] $O_1 = (Q_L Q_L Q_L L_L)^{N_g}$, where the power of N_g is actually a product over generations. The analogous operator in the SSM is:

$$O_2 = (Q_L Q_L Q_L L_L)^{N_g} (\tilde{H} \tilde{\tilde{H}})^{N_h} \tilde{W}^4, \quad (2)$$

where now the N_h higgsinos $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{\tilde{H}})$ and the winos $(\tilde{W})$ transforming non-trivially under $SU(2)$ also contribute to the anomaly and then to (2), in a way proportional to the Casimir of the corresponding representation. For the $R_3 - SU(3)^2$ anomaly [3], [1] the corresponding operator is

$$O_3 = (Q_L Q_L u_L^c d_L^c)^{N_g} \tilde{g}^6. \quad (3)$$

The question we will address now is, given a primordial excess of B and L , how they evolve if the reactions induced by (2) and (3) are in thermal equilibrium, i.e. they occur faster than the expansion rate of the universe parametrized by the Hubble constant. For this we need to express the B and L number density in terms of chemical potentials. Using the constraint that all the SSM interactions be in thermal equilibrium, we will find only a few independent chemical potentials on which depend all the number densities. Extra constraints are obtained if the anomalous processes (2) and (3) are also in equilibrium.

For ultrarelativistic particles, the equilibrium number density Δn (difference of particles and antiparticles) of a particle species, depends on the temperature T and chemical potential μ of the respective particles in the following way:

$$\frac{\Delta n}{s} = \frac{15g}{4\pi^2 g_*} \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right) \begin{cases} 2 & \text{bosons} \\ 1 & \text{fermions} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $s = 2\pi^2 g_* T^3/45$ is the entropy density, g is the number of internal degrees of freedom and g_* is the total number of degrees of freedom (~ 200 in the supersymmetric case). We will name the chemical potential of a given particle by the name of the corresponding particle. Since we will work at scales much higher than M_W , all the particles in the same $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ multiplet have the same chemical potential and the corresponding gauge fields have vanishing chemical potential. Because of generation-mixing interactions, the quark potentials will be taken generation-independent. Also, all the Higgses will have the same chemical potential. Then, we have to consider $25 = 2 \times 11 + 3$ independent chemical potentials for the SM particles (with two Higgses) plus their superpartners and the three gauginos $\tilde{W}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{B}^0$. The interactions in (1) imply

$$u_L^c + Q_L + \bar{H} = 0 \quad d_L^c + Q_L + H = 0 \quad E_L^{ci} + L_L^i + H = 0. \quad (5)$$

Gaugino couplings of the SSM imply also: $\tilde{Q}_L = Q_L - \tilde{g} = Q_L - \tilde{B}^0$, with similar relations for the leptons, higgsinos and right-handed quarks and leptons. If all these processes are simultaneously in equilibrium, we will have $\tilde{B}^0 = \tilde{W} = \tilde{g}$. We are then left with the independent chemical potentials $Q_L, L_L^i, H, \bar{H}, \tilde{g}$. We now compute the total electric charge density Q and impose the constraint [4] that it vanishes in a universe in thermal equilibrium. This implies

$$Q = \frac{15}{4\pi^2 g_* T} \{6N_g(Q_L - L_L) + 3(N_h + 2N_g)(\bar{H} - H)\} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Now we will use the condition that the $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ anomalous couplings obtained from (2) and (3) are also in equilibrium at high temperatures,

thus implying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} 3N_g Q_L + N_g L_L + N_h(H + \bar{H}) + (4 + 2N_h)\tilde{g} &= 0. \\ 2Q_L + u_L^c + d_L^c + 2\tilde{g} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The condition of vanishing electric charge, together with (7), reduce the number of independent variables to Q_L and $\tilde{g}$. We then find the expression for the baryon and lepton densities,

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{30}{4\pi^2 g_* T} \{6N_g Q_L - (4N_g - 9)\tilde{g}\}, \\ L &= -\frac{45}{4\pi^2 g_* T} \left\{ \frac{N_g(14N_g + 9N_h)}{N_h + 2N_g} Q_L + \Omega(N_g, N_h)\tilde{g} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where Ω is an unimportant rational function. Here we have defined $L \equiv \frac{1}{N_g} \sum_i L^i$. We can easily see that even setting $B - L = 0$ we will get a non-vanishing $(B + L) \propto \tilde{g} \neq 0$, indicating that the baryon asymmetry does not disappear and the baryon excess partially transforms into supersymmetric particles. This is a reflection of the fact that $B + L - R_2$ is anomalous, but $B + L + R_2$ is anomaly-free.

So far we have assumed unbroken supersymmetry and no explicit Higgsino mass terms $\mu H \bar{H}$ in the superpotential. Gaugino Majorana masses $M_{\tilde{g}}, M_{\tilde{W}}, M_{\tilde{B}}$ and soft trilinear scalar couplings explicitly break R_2 and R_3 , and the same is true for Higgsino masses μ . Let us take all these soft terms equal to a single symmetry-breaking parameter $M_{SS} \sim 10^2$ GeV. If one compares the rate for these symmetry-breaking effects, $\Gamma_{SS} \simeq \frac{M_{SS}^2}{T}$ with the expansion rate of the universe $\Gamma_H \simeq 30 \times T^2 / M_{Planck}$, one finds that the $R_{2,3}$ and supersymmetry-breaking effects are outside thermal equilibrium for $T \geq T_{SS} \simeq \frac{1}{30^{1/3}} M_{SS}^{2/3} M_{Planck}^{1/3} \simeq 10^7$ GeV. Thus above this temperature the arguments given in the previous section apply. Below that temperature, the explicit gaugino and Higgsino masses force the gaugino chemical potentials to vanish and the results of previous analyses [4], are recovered.

Let us now consider how the present analysis is modified in the presence of extra non-renormalizable interactions violating B and/or L symmetries [1]. We will only illustrate a particularly interesting case, that of the operator $O_\nu = \frac{1}{M} (L_L L_L \bar{H} \bar{H})_F$, which gives rise to Majorana neutrino masses upon electroweak symmetry breaking of order $m_\nu \simeq \langle \bar{H} \rangle^2 / M$. If O_ν is in thermal equilibrium we will have the extra chemical potential constraint $L_L + \bar{H} = 0$. Above the T_{SS} temperature one finds then that $\tilde{g} = -99/59 Q_L$ and there is only one independent chemical potential, e.g. Q_L . Then one has $(B + L) \propto Q_L \propto (B - L)$, and as long as there was (or is created) a $B - L$ excess, the B asymmetry is not erased. Below T_{SS} , $\tilde{g} = 0$ and the baryon asymmetry disappears unless the O_ν interaction gets outside thermal equilibrium. Imposing that condition one gets

$M \geq \frac{1}{30^{2/3}} \times M_{Planck}^{2/3} \times M_{SS}^{1/3} \simeq 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$ which in turn corresponds to a limit on (the heaviest) neutrino mass $m_\nu \leq 10 \text{ eV}$. This is to be compared with the much stronger limit [4], $m_\nu \leq 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$, obtained ignoring the existence of the additional global currents. Physically these four orders of magnitude are very important, as mentioned in the introduction.

Gravitational Anomalies and $B - L$ Violation

In the previous sections we were considering the fact that $B + L$ was anomalous in the standard model but $B - L$ was exactly conserved. Nevertheless it is straightforward to see that, in the absence of right handed neutrinos, $B - L$ does have anomalies when gravity is considered. The triangle diagram couples the $B - L$ current with two external gravitons instead of $SU(2)_W$ gauge fields. It is then natural to inquire if there is a physical consequence to this fact in relation to the baryon asymmetry. We have seen that (even in supersymmetric models) the nonperturbative electroweak effects can erase any primordial $B + L$ asymmetry and we are left with two possibilities for baryogenesis. Either there is a primordial $B - L$ asymmetry or there is low energy baryogenesis due to the same electroweak effects. The latter has been discussed by A. Cohen in this conference. The former could be achieved in different ways like decays of heavy (GUT) particles, condensation of squarks and sleptons etc. Here we propose that in the same spirit as low energy baryogenesis, the anomalous $B - L$ symmetry breaking could be the source of the baryon number asymmetry of the universe. The scenario would be that gravitational anomalies through nontrivial field configurations, induce a $B - L$ asymmetry at temperatures of the order of M_{Planck} or lower. The departure from thermal equilibrium required for $B - L$ number generation would be given by the fact that gravitational interactions become outside thermal equilibrium below M_{Planck} . We leave unspecified a possible source of CP violation.

In the electroweak case, the mediators of $B + L$ breaking can be either Yang–Mills instantons (leading to a tunneling process which is exponentially suppressed) or sphalerons (providing the height of the energy barrier which can be passed at high temperatures). In the gravitational case, there are known field configurations resembling both. Gravitational instantons are solutions of euclidean Einstein’s equations. The known, non–compact solutions are the asymptotically locally euclidean spaces. Their boundary has the topology of S^3/G where G is a discrete subgroup of $SU(2)$, therefore they have an ADE classification. The A_k series corresponds to $G = \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}$. The simplest of these spaces is the Eguchi–Hanson instanton ($k = 1$)[5]. All of these manifolds are Kahler. They have vanishing action, which is very different to the gauge case, in particular their effects are supposed to be proportional to e^{-S} and there is no exponential suppression if $S = 0$. This fact

seems to make these configurations more interesting than the corresponding gauge theory instantons, which have negligible effects for baryogenesis since $S \sim 1/g^2$. However all of these manifolds do not support spin-1/2 zero modes ($I_{1/2} = 0$) when only gravitational backgrounds are considered. Nevertheless, if there is also a $U(1)$ (or Yang–Mills) gauge field background [5],[6], there are spin-1/2 zero modes but the action in this case is nonvanishing,

$$S = \frac{1}{4e^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu}^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{e^2} N^2 = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} N^2, \quad (9)$$

where $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the instanton charge. Therefore these effects are also exponentially suppressed. The natural candidate for the $U(1)$ is the standard model hypercharge. However, since $B-L$ has no mixed anomaly with hypercharge, no effect is to be expected in this case. An alternative is to consider models with an extra $\tilde{U}(1)$ and such that this new interaction has a mixed anomaly with $B-L$. Examples of this type of $\tilde{U}(1)$'s are found in some supersymmetric models. In this scheme, the gravitational piece does not contribute directly to the asymmetry, but it provides a background to allow the $\tilde{U}(1)$ field develop a nontrivial topological charge ($\int d^4x F\tilde{F}$), something which cannot happen in flat space. Also, as emphasized in [6], the scale of these solutions can be chosen large compared with M_{Planck} allowing the possibility to overcome the problem of dealing with gravity at the Planck scale. We may even speculate that in analogy to the electroweak case, the rate for $B-L$ violating transitions could go like $\Gamma \sim (\alpha T)^4$ which leads to thermal equilibrium at energies $T \leq \alpha^4 M_P \sim 10^{14} Gev$. These low-energy effects would be interesting to avoid a conflict with the inflationary universe scenario, since if gravitational anomalies create a $B-L$ asymmetry at the Planck scale, inflation is expected to dilute it at lower energies and make it irrelevant. It would be very interesting if gravity could actually lead to such low-energy implications.

For this scenario to be realized, the analogous of the ‘sphaleron’ in the electroweak theory may be needed. It is interesting to notice that similar field configurations have been recently found [7] in which a static (spherically symmetric) metric and Yang–Mills backgrounds are unstable solutions of Einstein–Yang–Mills equations with topological charge in between two stable vacua. Again the pure gravity part does not contribute to the anomaly because of spherical symmetry ($\int d^4x R\tilde{R} = 0$) and it is the gauge field the one that gives a nonvanishing contribution. These solutions, however, do not exist for the Einstein–Maxwell case. Also it is not clear if these configurations are physically identical to the standard model sphalerons. In particular, in gravity it is not well defined what the ‘height of the barrier’ would actually be.

Gravitational anomalies effects have also been discussed in [8] in the context of inhomogeneous cosmologies like Bianchi–IX. These cosmologies give

rise to the creation of neutrinos rather than antineutrinos, the asymmetry is related to the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index for manifolds with boundary. The number of neutrinos was found to be $n_\nu \sim \exp(12\beta)/256$ where β measures the initial anisotropy of the space. This is different from our proposal since in that case the lepton asymmetry is put, in some way, by hand by choosing a sufficiently asymmetric initial cosmology. On the other hand, when the idea in ref.[8] was put forward it was not known that electroweak effects could convert the initial lepton asymmetry into a baryon asymmetry. We believe that these issues should be reconsidered in the light of the results obtained in the last few years.

Acknowledgments

We thank L. Alvarez–Gaumé, E. Alvarez and A. Cohen for discussions on the subject of the last section. Research of FQ supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

References

- [1] L.E. Ibáñez and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B283 (1992) 261 and references cited therein.
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 8; Phys. Rev. D14 (1976) 3422.
- [3] R. Mohapatra and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D45 (1992) 2699.
- [4] J. A. Harvey and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D42 (1990) 3344
- [5] T. Eguchi and A. Hanson, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), 120 (1979) 82.
- [6] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B315 (1989) 517 .
- [7] R. Bartnik and J. McKinnon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 141;
D. Galtsov and M. Volkov, Phys. Lett B273 (1991) 255.
- [8] G. Gibbons Ann. Phys. 125 (1980) 98, Phys. Lett. B84 (1979) 431.